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The word nostalgia comes from two Greek roots, yet it did not originate in ancient Greece. Nostalgia is only pseudo-Greek, or nostalgically Greek. The word was coined by the ambitious Swiss doctor Johannes Hofer in his medical dissertation in 1688. He believed that it was possible "from the force of the sound Nostalgia to define the sad mood originating from the desire for return to one's native land." (Hofer also suggested nosomania and philopatridomania to describe the same symptoms; luckily, the latter failed to enter common parlance.) Contrary to our intuition, nostalgia came from medicine, not from poetry or politics. Among the first victims of the newly diagnosed disease were various displaced people of the seventeenth century, freedom-loving students from the Republic of Berne studying in Basel, domestic help and servants working in France and Germany and Swiss soldiers fighting abroad.

Nostalgia was said to produce "erroneous representations" that caused the afflicted to lose touch with the present. Longing for their native land became their single-minded obsession. The patients acquired "a lifeless and haggard countenance," and "indifference towards everything," confusing past and present, real and imaginary events. One of the early symptoms of nostalgia was an ability to hear voices or see ghosts. Dr. Albert von Haller wrote: "One of the earliest symptoms is the sensation of hearing the voice of a person that one loves in the voice of another with whom one is conversing, or to see one's family again in dreams." It comes as no surprise that Hofer's felicitous baptism of the new disease both helped to identify the existing condition and enhanced the epidemic, making it a widespread European phenomenon. The epidemic of nostalgia was accompanied by an even more dangerous epidemic of "feigned nostalgia," particularly among soldiers tired of serving abroad, revealing the contagious nature of the erroneous representations.

Nostalgia, the disease of an afflicted imagination, incapacitated the body. Hofer thought that the course of the disease was mysterious: the ailment spread "along uncommon routes through the untouched course of the channels of the brain to the body," arousing "an uncommon and everpresent idea of the recalled native land in the mind." Longing for home exhausted the "vital spirits," causing nausea, loss of appetite, pathological changes in the lungs, brain inflammation, cardiac arrests, high fever, as well as marasmus and a propensity for suicide.

Nostalgia operated by an "associationist magic," by means of which all aspects of everyday life related to one single obsession. In this respect nostalgia was akin to paranoia, only instead of a persecution mania, the nostalgic was possessed by a mania of longing. On the other hand, the nostalgic had an amazing capacity for remembering sensations, tastes, sounds, smells, the minutiae and trivia of the lost paradise that those who remained home never noticed. Gastronomic and auditory nostalgia were of particular importance. Swiss scientists found that rustic mothers' soups,
thick village milk and the folk melodies of Alpine valleys were particularly conducive to triggering a nostalgic reaction in Swiss soldiers. Supposedly the sounds of "a certain rustic cantilena" that accompanied shepherds in their driving of the herds to pasture immediately provoked an epidemic of nostalgia among Swiss soldiers serving in France. Similarly, Scots, particularly Highlanders, were known to succumb to incapacitating nostalgia when hearing the sound of the bagpipes—so much so, in fact, that their military superiors had to prohibit them from playing, singing or even whistling native tunes in a suggestive manner. Jean-Jacques Rousseau talks about the effects of cowbells, the rustic sounds that excite in the Swiss the joys of life and youth and a bitter sorrow for having lost them. The music in this case "does not act precisely as music, but as a memorative sign." The music of home, whether a rustic cantilena or a pop song, is the permanent accompaniment of nostalgia its ineffable charm that makes the nostalgic teary-eyed and tongue-tied and often clouds critical reflection on the subject.

In the good old days nostalgia was a curable disease, dangerous but not always lethal. Leeches, warm hypnotic emulsions, opium and a return to the Alps usually soothed the symptoms. Purging of the stomach was also recommended, but nothing compared to the return to the motherland believed to be the best remedy for nostalgia. While proposing the treatment for the disease, Hofer seemed proud of some of his patients; for him nostalgia was a demonstration of the patriotism of his compatriots who loved the charm of their native land to the point of sickness.

Nostalgia shared some symptoms with melancholia and hypochondria. Melancholia, according to the Galenic conception, was a disease of the black bile that affected the blood and produced such physical and emotional symptoms as "vertigo, much wit, headache, . . . much waking, rumbling in the guts ... troublesome dreams, heaviness of the heart ... continuous tear, sorrow, discontent, superfluous cares and anxiety." For Robert Burton, melancholia, far from being a mere physical or psychological condition, had a philosophical dimension. The melancholic saw the world as a theater ruled by capricious fate and demonic play. Often mistaken for a mere misanthrope, the melancholic was in fact a utopian dreamer who had higher hopes for humanity. In this respect, melancholia was an affect and an ailment of intellectuals, a Hamletian doubt, a side effect of critical reason; in melancholia, thinking and feeling, spirit and matter, soul and body were perpetually in conflict. Unlike melancholia, which was regarded as an ailment of monks and philosophers, nostalgia was a more "democratic" disease that threatened to affect soldiers and sailors displaced far from home as well as many country people who began to move to the cities. Nostalgia was not merely an individual anxiety but a public threat that revealed the contradictions of modernity and acquired a greater political importance.

The outburst of nostalgia both enforced and challenged the emerging conception of patriotism and national spirit. It was unclear at first what was to be done with the afflicted soldiers who loved their motherland so much that they never wanted to leave it, or for that matter to die for it. When the epidemic of nostalgia spread beyond the Swiss garrison, a more radical treatment was undertaken. The French doctor Jourdan Le Cointe suggested in his book written during the French
Revolution of 1789 that nostalgia had to be cured by inciting pain and terror. As scientific evidence he offered an account of drastic treatment of nostalgia successfully undertaken by the Russians. In 1733 the Russian army was stricken by nostalgia just as it ventured into Germany, the situation becoming dire enough that the general was compelled to come up with a radical treatment of the nostalgic virus. He threatened that "the first to fall sick will be buried alive." This was a kind of literalization of a metaphor, as life in a foreign country seemed like death. This punishment was reported to be carried out on two or three occasions, which happily cured the Russian army of complaints of nostalgia. (No wonder longing became such an important part of the Russian national identity.) Russian soil proved to be a fertile ground for both native and foreign nostalgia. The autopsies performed on the French soldiers who perished in the proverbial Russians snow during the miserable retreat of the Napoleonic Army from Moscow revealed that many of them had brain inflammation characteristic of nostalgia.

While Europeans (with the exception of the British) reported frequent epidemics of nostalgia starting from the seventeenth century, American doctors proudly declared that the young nation remained healthy and didn't succumb to the nostalgic vice until the American Civil War." If the Swiss doctor Hofer believed that homesickness expressed love for freedom and one's native land, two centuries later the American military doctor Theodore Calhoun conceived of nostalgia as a shameful disease that revealed a lack of manliness and unprogressive attitudes. He suggested that this was a disease of the mind and of 'a weak will (the concept of an "afflicted imagination" would be profoundly alien to him). In nineteenth-century America it was believed that the main reasons for homesickness were idleness and a slow and inefficient use of time conducive to daydreaming, erotomania and onanism. "Any influence that will tend to render the patient more manly will exercise a curative power. In boarding schools, as perhaps many of us remember, ridicule is wholly relied upon.... [The nostalgic] patient can often be laughed out of it by his comrades, or reasoned out of it by appeals to his manhood; but of all potent agents, an active campaign, with attendant marches and more particularly its battles is the best curative.." Dr. Calhoun proposed as treatment public ridicule and bullying by fellow soldiers, an increased number of manly marches and battles and improvement in personal hygiene that would make soldiers' living conditions more modern. (He also was in favor of an occasional furlough that would allow soldiers to go home for a brief period of time.)

For Calhoun, nostalgia was not conditioned entirely by individuals' health, but also by their strength of character and social background. Among the Americans the most susceptible to nostalgia were soldiers from the rural districts, particularly farmers, while merchants, mechanics, boatmen and train conductors from the same area or from the city were more likely to resist the sickness. "The soldier from the city cares not where he is or where he eats, while his country cousin pines for the old homestead and his father's groaning hoard," wrote Calhoun. In such cases, the only hope was that the advent of progress would somehow alleviate nostalgia and the efficient use of time would eliminate idleness, melancholy, procrastination and lovesickness.
As a public epidemic, nostalgia was based on a sense of loss not limited to personal history. Such a sense of loss does not necessarily suggest that what is lost is properly remembered and that one still knows where to look for it. Nostalgia became less and less curable. By the end of the eighteenth century, doctors discovered that a return home did not always treat the symptoms. The object of longing occasionally migrated to faraway lands beyond the confines of the motherland. Just as genetic researchers today hope to identify a gene not only for medical conditions but social behavior and even sexual orientation, so the doctors in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries looked for a single cause of the erroneous representations, one so-called pathological bone. Yet the physicians failed to find the locus of nostalgia in their patient's mind or body. One doctor claimed that nostalgia was a "hypochondria of the heart" that thrives on its symptoms. To my knowledge, the medical diagnosis of nostalgia survived in the twentieth century in one country only-Israel. (It is unclear whether this reflects a persistent yearning for the promised land or for the diasporic homelands left behind.) Everywhere else in the world nostalgia turned from a treatable sickness into an incurable disease. How did it happen that a provincial ailment, maladie du pays, became a disease of the modern age, mal du siecle?

In my view, the spread of nostalgia had to do not only with dislocation in space but also with the changing conception of time. Nostalgia was a historical emotion, and we would do well to pursue its historical rather than psychological genesis. There had been plenty of longing before the seventeenth century, not only in the European tradition but also in Chinese and Arabic poetry, where longing is a poetic commonplace. Yet the early modern conception embodied in the specific word came to the fore at a particular historical moment. "Emotion is not a word, but it can only be spread abroad through words," writes Jean Starobinski, using the metaphor of border crossing and immigration to describe the discourse on nostalgia. Nostalgia was diagnosed at a time when art and science had not yet entirely severed their umbilical ties and when the mind and body internal and external well-being were treated together. This was a diagnosis of a poetic science— and we should not smile condescendingly on the diligent Swiss doctors. Our progeny well might poeticize depression and see it as a metaphor for a global atmospheric condition, immune to treatment with Prozac.

What distinguishes modern nostalgia from the ancient myth of the return home is not merely its peculiar medicalization. The Greek nostos, the return home and the song of the return home, was part of a mythical ritual. As Gregory Nagy has demonstrated, Greek nostos is connected to the Indo-European root nes, meaning return to light and life.

There are in fact two aspects of nostos in The Odyssey; one is of course, the hero's return from Troy, and the other, just as important, is his return from Hades. Moreover, the theme of Odysseus's descent and subsequent nostos (return) from Hades converges with the solar dynamics of sunset and sunrise. The movement is from dark to light, from unconsciousness to consciousness. In fact the hero is asleep as he floats in darkness to his homeland and sunrise
comes precisely when his boat reaches the shores of Ithaca."

Penelope's labor of love and endurance the cloth that she weaves by day and unravels by night-represents a mythical time of everyday loss and renewal. Odysseus's is not a story of individual sentimental longing and subsequent return home to family values; rather, this is a fable about human fate.

After all, Odysseus's homecoming is about nonrecognition. Ithaca is plunged into mist and the royal wanderer arrives in disguise. The hero recognizes neither his homeland nor his divine protectress. Even his faithful and long-suffering wife does not see him for who he is. Only his childhood nurse notices the scar on the hero's foot—the tentative marker of physical identity. Odysseus has to prove his identity in action. He shoots the bow that belongs to him, at that moment triggering recollections and gaining recognition. Such ritual actions help to erase the wrinkles on the faces and the imprints of age. Odysseus's is a representative homecoming, a ritual event that neither begins nor ends with him.

The seduction of non-return home—the allure of Circe and the sirens—plays a more important role in some ancient versions of Odysseus's cycle, where the story of homecoming is not at all clearly crystallized. The archaic tales around the myth, not recorded in the Homeric rendering of the story, suggest that the prophecy will come true and Odysseus will be killed by his son----not Telemachus, but by the son he bore with Circe—who would later end up marrying Odysseus's wife, Penelope. Thus in the potential world of mythical storytelling there might be an incestuous connection between the faithful wife and the enchantress that delays the hero's homecoming. After all, Circe's island is an ultimate utopia of regressive pleasure and divine bestiality. One has to leave it to become human again. Circe's treacherous lullabies are echoed in the melodies of home. So if we explore the potential tales of Odysseus's homecoming, we risk turning an adventure story with a happy ending into a Greek tragedy. Hence even the most classical Western tale of homecoming is far from circular; it is riddled with contradictions and zigzags, false homecomings, misrecognitions.

Modern nostalgia is a mourning for the impossibility of mythical return, for the loss of an enchanted world with clear borders and values; it could be a secular expression of a spiritual longing, a nostalgia for an absolute, a home that is both physical and spiritual, the edenic unity of time and space before entry into history. The nostalgic is looking for a spiritual addressee. Encountering silence, he looks for memorable signs, desperately misreading them.

The diagnosis of the disease of nostalgia in the late seventeenth century took place roughly at the historical moment when the conception of time and history were undergoing radical change. The religious wars in Europe came to an end but the much prophesied end of the world and doomsday did not occur. "It was only when Christian eschatology shed its constant expectations of the immanent arrival of doomsday that a temporality could have been revealed that would be open to
the new and without limit." It is customary to perceive "linear" Judeo-Christian time in opposition to the "cyclical" pagan time of eternal return and discuss both with the help of spatial metaphors. What this opposition obscures is the temporal and historical development of the perception of time that since Renaissance on has become more and more secularized, severed from cosmological vision.

Before the invention of mechanical clocks in the thirteenth century the question, What time is it? was not very urgent. Certainly there were plenty of calamities, but the shortage of time wasn't one of them; therefore people could exist "in an attitude of temporal ease. Neither time nor change appeared to be critical and hence there was no great worry about controlling the future." In late Renaissance culture, Time was embodied in the images of Divine Providence and capricious Fate, independent of human insight or blindness. The division of time into Past, Present and Future was not so relevant. History was perceived as a "teacher of life" (as in Cicero's famous dictum, historia magistra vitae) and the repertoire of examples and role models for the future. Alternatively, in Leibniz's formulation, "The whole of the coming world is present and prefigured in that of the present." 16

The French Revolution marked another major shift in European mentality. Regicide had happened before, but not the transformation of the entire social order. The biography of Napoleon became exemplary for an entire generation of new individualists, little Napoleons who dreamed of reinventing and revolutionizing their own lives. The "Revolution," at first derived from natural movement of the stars and thus introduced into the natural rhythm of history as a cyclical metaphor, henceforth attained an irreversible direction: it appeared to unchain a yearned-for future." The idea of progress through revolution or industrial development became central to the nineteenth-century culture. From the seventeenth to the nineteenth century, the representation of time itself changed; it moved away from allegorical human figures—an old man, a blind youth holding an hourglass, a woman with bared breasts representing Fate to the impersonal language of numbers: railroad schedules, the bottom line of industrial progress. Time was no longer shifting sand; time was money. Yet the modern era also allowed for multiple conceptions of time and made the experience of time more individual and creative.

Kant thought that space was the form of our outer experience, and time the form of inner experience. To understand the human anthropological dimension of the new temporality and the ways of internalizing past and future, Reinhart Koselleck suggested two categories: space of experience and horizon of expectation; both are personal and interpersonal. The space of experience allows one to account for the assimilation of the past into the present. "Experience is present past, whose events have been incorporated and could he remembered." Horizon of expectation reveals the way of thinking about the future. Expectation "is the future made present; it directs itself to the not-yet to the non-experienced, to that which is to he revealed." In the early modern era new possibilities of individual self-fashioning and the quest for personal freedom opened a space for creative experimentation with time that was not always linear and
onedirectional. The idea of progress, once it moved from the realm of arts and sciences to the ideolo
gy of industrial capitalism, became a new theology of "objective" time. Progress "is the first
genuinely historical concept which reduced the temporal difference between experience and
expectation to a single con-
cept." 19 What mattered in the idea of progress was improvement in the future, not reflection on the past. Immediately, many writers and thinkers at the time raised the question of whether progress can ever be simultaneous in all spheres of human experience. Friedrich Schlegel wrote: "The real problem of history is the inequality of progress in the various elements of human development, in particular the great divergence in the degree of intellectual and ethical development." 19 Whether there was indeed an improvement in the humanities and arts, and in the human condition in general, remained an open question. Yet progress became a new global narrative as a secular counterpart to the universal aspirations of the Christian eschatology. In the past two centuries the idea of Progress applied to everything from time to space, from the nation to the individual.

Thus nostalgia, as a historical emotion, is a longing for that shrinking "space of experience" that no longer fits the new horizon of expectations. Nostalgic manifestations are side effects of the teleology of progress. Progress was not only a narrative of temporal progression but also of spatial expansion. Travelers since the late eighteenth century wrote about other places, first to the south and then to the east of Western Europe as "semi-civilized" or outright "barbarous." Instead of coevalness of different conceptions of time, each local culture therefore was evaluated with regard to the central narrative of progress. Progress was a marker of global time; any alternative to this idea was perceived as a local eccentricity.

Premodern space used to be measured by parts of the human body: we could keep things "at arm's length," apply the "rule of thumb," count the number of "feet." Understanding nearness and distance had a lot to do with kinship structures in a given society and treatment of domestic and wild animals." Zygmunt Bauman writes, somewhat nostalgically,

That distance which we are now inclined to call "objective" and to measure by comparing it with the length of the equator, rather than with the size of human bodily parts, corporal dexterity or sympathies/ antipathies of its inhabitants, used to be measured by human bodies and human relationships long before the metal rod called the meter, that impersonality and disembodiment incarnate, was deposited at Sevres for everyone to respect and obey.

Modern objectivity is conceived with the development of Renaissance perspective and the need for mapping the newly discovered worlds. The early modern state relied on a certain "legibility" of space and its transparency in order to collect taxes, recruit soldiers, and colonize new territories. Therefore the thicket of incomprehensible local customs, impenetrable and misleading to outsiders, were brought to a common denominator, a common map. Thus modernization meant making the populated world hospitable to supracommunal, state-ruled administration bureaucracy
and moving from a bewildering diversity of maps to a universally shared world. With the development of late capitalism and digital technology, the universal civilization becomes "global culture" and the local space is not merely transcended but made virtual. It would be dangerous, however, to fall into nostalgic idealization of premodern conceptions of space with a variety of local customs; after all, they had their own local tradition of cruelty; the "supracommunal language" was not only that of bureaucracy but also of human rights, of democracy and liberation. What is crucial is that nostalgia was not merely an expression of local longing, but a result of a new understanding of time and space that made the division into "local" and "universal" possible. The nostalgic creature has internalized this division, but instead of aspiring for the universal and the progressive he looks backward and yearns for the particular.

In the nineteenth century, optimistic doctors believed that nostalgia would be cured with universal progress and the improvement of medicine. Indeed, in some cases it did happen, since some symptoms of nostalgia were confused with tuberculosis. While tuberculosis eventually became treatable, nostalgia did not; since the eighteenth century, the impossible task of exploring nostalgia passed from doctors to poets and philosophers. The symptom of sickness came to be regarded as a sign of sensibility or an expression of new patriotic feeling. The epidemic of nostalgia was no longer to be cured but to he spread as widely as possible. Nostalgia is treated in a new genre, not as a tale of putative convalescence but as a romance with the past. The new scenario of nostalgia was neither battlefield nor hospital ward but misty vistas with reflective ponds, passing clouds and ruins of the Middle Ages or antiquity. Where native ruins were not available artificial ruins were built, already half-destroyed with utmost precision, commemorating the real and imaginary past of the new European nations.

In response to the Enlightenment, with its emphasis on the universality of reason, romantics began to celebrate the particularism of the sentiment. Longing for home became a central trope of romantic nationalism. The romantics looked for "memorative signs" and correspondences between their inner landscape and the shape of the world. They charted an affective geography of the native land that often mirrored the melancholic landscape of their own psyches. The primitive song turned into a lesson in philosophy. Johann Gottfried von Herder wrote in 1773 that the songs of Latvian peasants possessed a "living presence that nothing written on paper can ever have." It is this living presence, outside the vagaries of modern history, that becomes the object of nostalgic longing. "All unpolished people sing and act; they sing about what they do and thus sing histories. Their songs are archives of their people, the treasury of their science and religion.... Here everyone portrays himself and appears as he is."

It is not surprising that national awareness comes from outside the community rather than from within. It is the romantic traveler who sees from a distance the wholeness of the vanishing world. The journey gives him perspective. The vantage point of a stranger informs the native idyll. "The nostalgic is never a native but a displaced person who mediates between the local and the universal. Many national languages, thanks to Herder's passionate rehabilitation, discovered their
own particular expression for patriotic longing. Curiously, intellectuals and poets from different national traditions began to claim that they had a special word for homesickness that was radically untranslatable. While German heimweh, French maladie du pays, Spanish mal de corazon have become a part of nostalgic esperanto, the emerging nations began to insist on their cultural uniqueness. Czechs had the word litost, which meant at once sympathy, grief, remorse and undefinable longing. According to Milan Kundera, litost suggested a "feeling as infinite as an open accordion" where the "first syllable when long and stressed sounds like the wail of an abandoned dog." The whispering sibilants of the Russian toska, made famous in the literature of exiles, evoke a claustrophobic intimacy of the crammed space from where one pines for the infinite. Toska suggests, literally, a stifling, almost asthmatic sensation of incredible deprivation that is found also in the shimmering sounds of the Polish tesknota. Usually opposed to the Russian toska (even though they came from the same root), tesknota gives a similar sense of confining and overwhelming yearning with a touch of moody artistry unknown to the Russians, enamored by the gigantic and the absolute. Eva Hoffman describes tesknota as a phantom pregnancy, a "welling up of absence," of all that had been lost. The Portugese and Brazilians have their saudade, a tender sorrow, breezy and erotic, not as melodramatic as its Slavic counterpart, yet no less profound and haunting. Romanians claim that the word dor, sonorous and sharp like a dagger, is unknown to the other nations and speaks of a specifically Romanian dolorous ache. While each term preserves the specific rhythms of the language, one is struck by the fact that all these untranslatable words are in fact synonyms; and all share the desire for untranslatability, the longing for uniqueness. While the details and flavors differ, the grammar of romantic nostalgias all over the world is quite similar. "I long therefore I am" became the romantic motto.

Nostalgia, like progress, is dependent on the modern conception of unrepeatable and irreversible time. The romantic nostalgic insisted on the otherness of his object of nostalgia from his present life and kept it at a safe distance. The object of romantic nostalgia must be beyond the present space of experience, somewhere in the twilight of the past or on the island of utopia where time has happily stopped, as on an antique clock. At the same time, romantic nostalgia is not a mere antithesis to progress; it undermines both a linear conception of progress and a Hegelian dialectical teleology. The nostalgic directs his gaze not only backward but sideways, and expresses himself in elegiac poems and ironic fragments, not in philosophical or scientific treatises. Nostalgia remains unsystematic and unsynthesizable; it seduces rather than convinces.

In romantic texts nostalgia became erotic. Particularism in language and nature was akin to the individual love. A young and beautiful girl was buried somewhere in the native soil; blond and meek or dark and wild, she was the personification of nature: Sylvie for the sylvan imagination, Undine for the maritime one, Lucy for the lake region and a poor Liza for the Russian countryside. (Male heroes tended more toward bestial representations than pastoral, ranging from Lithuanian bearcounts in Prosper Merimee's novellas to Ukrainian and Transylvanian vampires.) The romance became a foundational fiction for new national revivals in Latin America, where countless novels
Yet the song of national liberation was not the only melody chosen in the nineteenth century. Many poets and philosophers explored nostalgic longing for its own sake rather than using it as a vehicle to a promised land or a nation-state. Kant saw in the combination of melancholy, nostalgia and self-awareness a unique aesthetic sense that did not objectify the past but rather heightened one's sensitivity to the dilemmas of life and moral freedom. For Kant, philosophy was seen as a nostalgia for a better world. Nostalgia is what humans share, not what should divide them. Like Eros in the Platonic conception, longing for the romantic philosophers and poets became a driving force of the human condition. For Novalis, "Philosophy is really a homesickness; it is an urge to be at home everywhere."

Like the doctors before them, poets and philosophers failed to find a precise location for nostalgia. They focused on the quest itself. A poetic language and a metaphorical journey seemed like a homeopathic treatment for human longing, acting through sympathy and similarity, together with the aching body, yet not promising a hallucinatory total recall. Heinrich Heine's poem of prototypical longing is about sympathetic mirroring of nostalgia.

A spruce is standing lonely
in the North on a barren height.
He drowses; ice and snowflakes
wrap him in a blanket of white.

He dreams about a palm tree
in a distant, eastern land,
that languishes lonely and silent
upon the scorching sand."

The solitary northern spruce dreams about his nostalgic soulmate and antipode-the southern palm. This is not a comforting national love affair. The two rather anthropomorphically trees share solitude and dreams, not roots. Longing for a fellow nostalgic, rather than for the landscape of the homeland, this poem is a long-distance romance between two "internal immigrants," displaced in their own native soil.

The first generation of romantics were not politicians; their nostalgic worldview was weltanschauung, not real politik. When nostalgia turns political, romance is connected to nation building and native songs are purified. The official memory of the nation-state does not tolerate useless nostalgia, nostalgia for its own sake. Some Alpine melodies appeared too frivolous and ideologically incorrect.

Whose nostalgia was it? What used to be an individual emotion expressed by sick soldiers and later romantic poets and philosophers turned into an institutional or state policy. With the
development of Swiss nationalism (that coincided with the creation of a federal state in the
nineteenth century), native songs were rewritten by schoolteachers who found peasant melodies
vulgar and not sufficiently patriotic. They wrote for the choral repertoire and tried to embrace
patriotism and progress. The word nation was one of the new words introduced into the native
songs.

"To forget—and I would venture say—to get one's history wrong, are essential factors in the
making of a nation; and thus the advance of historical study is a clanger to nationality," wrote
Ernest Renan. "The French had to forget the massacres of St. Bartholomew's night and massacres of
the Cathars in the south in the thirteenth century. The nostos of a nation is not merely a lost Eden
but a place of sacrifice and glory, of past suffering. This is a kind of inversion of the initial "Swiss
disease": in the national ideology, individual longing is transformed into a collective belonging
that relies on past sufferings that transcend individual memories. Defeats in the past figure as
prominently as victories in unifying the nation. The nation-state at best is based on the social
contract that is also an emotional contract, stamped by the charisma of the past.

In the mid-nineteenth century, nostalgia became institutionalized in national and provincial
museums and urban memorials. The past was no longer unknown or unknowable. The past became
"heritage." In the nineteenth century, for the first time in history, old monuments were restored in
their original image." Throughout Italy churches were stripped of their baroque layers and eclectic
additions and recreated in the Renaissance image, something that no Renaissance architect would
ever imagine doing to a work of antiquity. The sense of historicity and discreteness of the past is a
new nineteenth-century sensibility. By the end of the nineteenth century there is a debate between
the defenders of complete restoration that proposes to remake historical and artistic monuments of
the past in their unity and wholeness, and the lovers of unintentional memorials of the past: ruins,
eclectic constructions, fragments that carry "age value." Unlike total reconstructions, they allowed
one to experience historicity affectively, as an atmosphere, a space for reflection on the passage of
time.

By the late nineteenth century nostalgia acquired public style and space. The "archive" of
traditions that Herder found in folk songs was no longer to be left to chance. The evasive locus of
nostalgia, the nomadic hearth of the imagination, was to be fixed for the sake of preservation.
Memorative signs of the nation were to be found in card catalogues. The elusive temporality of
longing was encased and classified in a multitude of archival drawers, display cases and curio
cabinets. Private collections allow one to imagine other times and places and plunge into domestic
daydreaming and armchair nostalgia. The bourgeois home in nineteenth-century Paris is described
by Walter Benjamin as a miniature theater and museum that privatizes nostalgia while at the same
time replicating its public structure, the national and private homes thus becoming intertwined.
Public nostalgia acquires distinct styles, from the empire style favored by Napoleon to the new
historical styles—neo-Gothic, neo-Byzantine, and so on—as the cycles of revolutionary change are
accompanied by restorations that end up with a recovery of a grand style.
Nostalgia as a historical emotion came of age at the time of Romanticism and is coeval with the birth of mass culture. It began with the early-nineteenth-century memory boom that turned the salon culture of educated urban dwellers and landowners into a ritual commemoration of lost youth, lost springs, lost dances, lost chances. With the perfection of album art, the practice of writing poems, drawing pictures and leaving dried flowers and plants in a lady's album, every flirtation was on the verge of becoming a memento mori. Yet this souvenirization of the salon culture was playful, dynamic and interactive; it was part of a social theatricality that turned everyday life into art, even if it wasn't a masterpiece. Artificial nature begins to play an important part in the European imagination since the epoch of baroque the word itself signifies a rare shell. In the middle of the nineteenth century a fondness for herbariums, greenhouses and aquariums became a distinctive feature of the bourgeois home; it was a piece of nature transplanted into the urban home, framed and domesticated." What was cherished was the incompleteness, the fossil, the ruin, the miniature, the souvenir, not the total recreation of a past paradise or hell. As Celeste Olalquiaga observed for the nineteenth-century imagination, Atlantis was not a "golden age" to be reconstructed but a "lost civilization" to engage with through ruins, traces and fragments. The melancholic sense of loss turned into a style, a late nineteenth-century fashion.

Despite the fact that by the end of the nineteenth century nostalgia was pervading both the public and private spheres, the word itself was acquiring negative connotations. Apparently there was little space for a syncretic concept of nostalgia during a time in which spheres of existence and division of labor were undergoing further compartmentalization. The word appeared outmoded and unscientific. Public discourse was about progress, community and heritage, but configured differently than it had been earlier. Private discourse was about psychology, where doctors focus on hysteria, neurosis and paranoia.

The rapid pace of industrialization and modernization increased the intensity of people's longing for the slower rhythms of the past, for continuity, social cohesion and tradition. Yet this new obsession with the past reveals an abyss of forgetting and takes place in inverse proportion to its actual preservation. As Pierre Nora has suggested, memorial sites, or "lieux de memoire," are established institutionally at the time when the environments of memory, the milieux de memoire, fade." It is as if the ritual of commemoration could help to patch up the irreversibility of time. One could argue that Nora's own view is fundamentally nostalgic for the time when environments of memory were a part of life and no official national traditions were necessary. Yet this points to a paradox of institutionalized nostalgia: the stronger the loss, the more it is overcompensated with commemorations, the starker the distance from the past, and the more it is prone to idealizations.

Nostalgia was perceived as a European disease. Hence nations that came of age late and wished to distinguish themselves from aging Europe developed their identity on an antinostalgic premise; for better or worse they claimed to have managed to escape the burden of historical time. "We, Russians, like illegitimate children, come to this world without patrimony, without any links with
people who lived on the earth before us. Our memories go no further back than yesterday; we are as it were strangers to ourselves," wrote Petr Chaadaev in the first half of the nineteenth century.

Not accidentally, this self-critical statement could well apply to the young American nation too, only with a change in tone that would supplant Russian eternal fatalism with American eternal optimism. Ignoring for a moment the massive political differences between an absolute monarchy and a new democracy, we can observe a similar resistance to historical memory (albeit with a different accent). Early-nineteenth-century Americans perceived themselves as "Nature's Nation," something that lives in the present and has no need for the past-what Jefferson called the "blind veneration of antiquity, for customs and names to overrule the suggestions of our own good sense."37 The lack of patrimony, legitimacy and memory that Chaadaev laments in the state of the Russian consciousness is celebrated in the American case as the spirit of the new, at once natural and progressive. Intellectuals of both new nations share an inferiority-superiority complex vis-à-vis old Europe and its cultural heritage. Both are antihistorical in their self-definition, only Russians lag behind and Americans run ahead of it. Chaadaev, discoverer of the nomadic Russian spirit, was declared a madman upon his return from abroad and became an internal immigrant in his motherland. Slavophiles appropriated Chaadaev's critique of the Russian mentality and turned spiritual longing (toska) and the lack of historical consciousness into features of the Russian soul and a birthmark of the chosen nation. In the American case this youthful forgetfulness allowed for the nationalization of progress and the creation of another quasi-metaphysical entity called the American way of life. On the surface, little could be more different than the celebration of Russian spiritual longing and the American dream. What they share, however, is the dream of transcending history and memory. In the Russian nineteenth-century tradition it is the writer and peasant who become carriers of the national dream, while in the American case the entrepreneur and cowboy are the ultimate artists in life. Unlike their Russian counterparts, they are strong and silent types, not too good with words. Wherein in Russia classical literature of the nineteenth-century viewed through the prism of centralized school programs became a foundation of the nation's canon and repository of nostalgic myths, in the United States it is popular culture that helped to spread the American way of life. Somewhere on the frontier, the ghost of Dostoevsky meets the ghost of Mickey Mouse. Like the characters from The Possessed, they exchange wry smiles.
How to begin again? How to be happy, to invent ourselves, shedding the inertia of the past? How to experience life and life alone, "that dark, driving, insatiable power that lusts after itself?" These were the questions that bothered the moderns. Happiness, and not merely a longing for it, meant forgetfulness and a new perception of time.

The modern opposition between tradition and revolution is treacherous. Tradition means both delivery--handing down or passing on a doctrine-and surrender or betrayal. Traduttore, traditore, translator, traitor. The word revolution, similarly, means both cyclical repetition and the radical break. Hence tradition and revolution incorporate each other and rely on their opposition. Preoccupation with tradition and interpretation of tradition as an age-old ritual is a distinctly modern phenomenon, born out of anxiety about the vanishing past. Bruno Latour points out that "the modern time of progress and the anti-modern time of 'tradition' are twins who failed to recognize one another: The idea of an identical repetition of the past and that of a radical rupture with any past are two symmetrical results of a single conception of time." Thus there is a codependency between the modern ideas of progress and newness and antimodern claims of recovery of national community and the stable past, which becomes particularly clear at the end of the twentieth century in light of its painful history.

The word modernity was first explored by the poets, not political scientists; Charles Baudelaire elaborated this term in his essay "The Painter of Modern Life"(1859-60). Baudelaire gives a dual image of modern beauty and the experience of modernity: "Modernity is the transitory, the fugitive, the contingent, the half of art of which the other half is eternal and the immutable." Baudelaire's project is to "represent the present," to capture the transience, the excitement, the protean qualities of the modern experience. Modernity is impersonated by an unknown woman in the urban crowd with a veil and lots of makeup. This happened to be a love at last sight:

La rue assourdissante autour de moi hurlait.
Longue, mince, en grand deuil, douleur majesteuse,
Une femme passa, d'une main fastueuse
Soulevant, balançant le feston et l'ourlet;
Agile et noble, avec sa jambe de statue.
Moi, je buvais, crispé comme un extravagant,
Dans son œil, ciel livide où germe l'ouragan,
La douceur qui fascine et le plaisir qui tue.
The poem is about a pursuit of modern happiness that results in an erotic failure. Happiness—bonheur in French—is a matter of good timing, when two people meet at a right time, in a right place and somehow manage to arrest the moment. The time of happiness is like a time of revolution, an ecstatic modern present. For Baudelaire the chance of happiness is revealed in a flash and the rest of the poem is a nostalgia for what could have been; it is not a nostalgia for the ideal past, but for the present perfect and its lost potential. At the beginning the poet and the unknown woman move in the same rhythm of the descriptive past tense, the rhythm of howling Parisian crowds. The encounter brings the poet a shock of recognition followed by spatial and temporal disorientation. The time of their happiness is out of joint.

I am reminded of the early-twentieth-century photographs of Jacques-Henri Lartigue, who used still images to capture motion. He worked against the media; instead of making his photographic subjects freeze in a perfect still, he captured them in motion, letting them evade his frame, leaving blurry overexposed shadows on the dark background. Fascinated by the potential of modern technology, Lartigue wanted photography to do what it cannot do, namely, capture motion. Intentional technical failure makes the image at once nostalgic and poetic. Similarly, Baudelaire,
fascinated by the experience of a modern crowd, wanted it to do what it couldn't: to arrest the moment. Modern experience offers him an erotic encounter and denies consumation. In revenge, Baudelaire tries to turn an erotic failure into a poetic bliss and fit the fleeing modern beauty into the rhythm of a traditional sonnet. Intoxicated by transience, nostalgic for tradition, the poet laments what could have been.

The unknown woman is an allegory of modernité; at once statuesque and fleeing, she exemplifies eternal beauty and the modern transience. She is in mourning, possibly a widow, but for a poet her veil is that of anticipatory nostalgia for the lost chance for happiness. Her mourning mirrors his, or the other way around. The poet and the woman recognize each other's fleeing nostalgias. Desiring to arrest time, he mixes opposites in a fury; in one moment he experiences a new birth and death, a pleasure and pain, darkness and light, the present and the eternal. The woman is lost and found and then lost again and then found again in the poem. Rhyming functions like a form of magic; it slows the reader down, making the poem reflect on itself, creating its own utopian temporality where the fleeing erotic fantasy of a lonely urban dweller can be remembered and even memorized. Rhyming delays the progression of the poem toward an inevitable unhappy ending. The time it takes to read the poem is longer than it might have taken the poet to encounter and lose his virtual beloved. The urban crowd is not merely a background but an actor in the scene, its collective anonymity highlighting the singularity of the encounter. The modern city is the poet's imperfect home.'

Baudelaire's definition of poetic beauty is politically and aesthetically incorrect on many contemporary counts. In "The Painter of Modern Life" he compares modern beauty to women's makeup, and writes that artifice and artificiality are far preferable to the "original sin" of nature (Baudelaire here goes against Rousseau); and, of course, his poetic muse was of doubtful virtue. For Baudelaire, art gives new enchantment to the disenchanted modern world. Memory and imagination, perception and experience are intimately connected. The poet writes at night after wandering all day in the urban crowd that is his cocreator. Modern art, then, is a mnemonic art, not merely an invention of a new language.

While Baudelaire identified modern sensibility and coined the noun modernity, the adjective modern has its own history. Derived from modo (recently just now), it comes into usage in the Christian Middle Ages; initially it meant "present" and "contemporary," and there was nothing radical about it. The militant and oppositional use of the word is what was "modern" and new. Modern acquires polemical connotations in seventeenth-century France during the Quarrel between the Ancients and the Moderns. The word did not refer to technological progress but to the argument about taste and classical antiquity. In the eighteenth century "to modernize" often referred to home improvement. By the early twentieth century modern experience became identified by George Lukacs as "transcendental homelessness." The home improvement must have gone too far.

It is crucial to distinguish modernity as a critical project from modernization as a social
practice and state policy that usually refers to industrialization and technological progress. Modernity and modernisms are responses to the condition of modernization and the consequences of progress. This modernity is contradictory, critical, ambivalent and reflective on the nature of time; it combines fascination for the present with longing for another time. The late nineteenth and early twentieth century was the last instance of dialogue between artists, scientists, philosophers and critics in an attempt to develop a comprehensive understanding of the modern condition and a new conception of time. Albert Einstein and Pablo Picasso, Nikolai Lobachevsky--the inventor of an alternative geometry--and Velemir Khlebnikov the founding father of the Russian avant-garde--shared the same preoccupations.

Three exemplary scenes of reflective modern nostalgia are at the center of this discussion: Baudelaire's love at last sight, Nietzsche's eternal return and Alpine forgetting and Benjamin's confrontation with the angel of history. Baudelaire looks back at urban transience, Nietzsche, at the cosmos and the wilderness, and Benjamin, at the wreckage of history. Baudelaire tried to "represent the present" through a shock experience and juxtaposition of opposites, Nietzsche, through self-conscious and involuntary irony, and Benjamin, through a dialectic at a standstill and the unconventional archeology of memory. All three poetic critics of modernity are nostalgic for the present, yet they strive not so much to regain the present as to reveal its fragility.

Baudelaire's encounter with modern experience was full of ambivalence; his poetry is populated with nostalgic Sphinxes and Swans from antiquity to old Paris. He dreams of exotic pastoral utopias where aristocratic idleness, languor and voluptuousness are uncorrupted by the vulgarity of the bourgeoisie. Yet unlike the romantics he does not scorn the urban experience and, on the contrary, becomes electrified in the urban crowd. It is this elusive, creative, deafening urban theatricality that gives him the promise of happiness. Had Baudelaire left Paris for a while he might have been nostalgic for that particularly electrifying experience. Baudelaire, however, is critical of the belief in the happy march of progress that, in his view, enslaves human nature. For Baudelaire, present and new are connected to openness and unpredictability, not to the teleology of progress. Baudelairean Paris becomes a capital of ambivalent modernity that embraces the impurities of modern life.

Curiously, Dostoevsky visited Paris at around the same time and returned to Russia outraged. He described Paris not as a capital of modernity but rather as a whore of Babylon and the symbol of Western decadence: "It is a kind of Biblical scene, something about Babylon, a kind of prophecy from the Apocalypse fulfilled before your very eyes. You feel that it would require a great deal of eternal spiritual resistance not to succumb, not to surrender to the impression, not to bow down to the fact, and not to idolize Baal, not to accept it as your ideal." For Dostoevsky, modern urban life becomes apocalyptic, and modernity is idol worship; he translates it back into the language of religious prophecy, opposing the Western fall from grace to the Russian "eternal spiritual resistance." No wonder the word modernity still lacks its equivalent in Russian, in spite of the richness and variety of artistic modernism. Both modern nostalgics and critics of progress,
Baudelaire and Dostoevsky parted ways and (did not share the same urban love at last sight.

The confusion and proliferation of derivatives around the word modern demonstrates how difficult it is to represent the present. Baudelaire was a melancholic and affectionate modern artist who mourned the vanished "forest of correspondences" in the world yet also explored the creative potentials of the modern experience. Baudelaire, in Marshall Berman's formulation, was a modernist of impurity who did not try to free his art from the contradictions of modern urban life.

The ambivalent experience of modernity and nostalgia inspires not only nineteenth-century art but also social science and philosophy. Modern sociology was founded on the distinction between traditional community and modern society, a distinction that tends to idealize the wholeness, intimacy and transcendental world view of the traditional society. Tonnes writes: "In Gemeinschaft (community) with one's family, one lives from birth on, bound to it in well and woe. One goes into Gesellschaft (society) as one goes into a foreign country." Thus modern society appears as a foreign country, public life as emigration from the family idyll, urban existence as a permanent exile. Most of the nostalgic modern sociologists, however, are not antimodern, but rather they are critical of the effects of modernization, objectivization of human relationships through the forces of capitalism and growing bureaucratization of daily life. Max Weber dwelled on the tragic ambivalence of the modern "rationalization" and bureaucratic subjugation of individual and social relations to the utilitarian ethics that resulted in the "disenchantment of the world," the loss of charisma and withdrawal from public life. The retreat into a newly found religion or reinvented communal tradition wasn't the answer to the challenge of modernity, but an escape from it.

For Georg Simmel, some forces of modernization threatened the human dimensions of the modern project those of individual freedom and creative social relations. His is the Baudelairian version of nostalgia, based firmly in the life of a modern metropolis. Simmel sees a growing cleavage between the objectified forms of exchange and open-ended and creative sociability that is at once a "playform" and an "ethical force" of the society. This modern ethics consists in preserving the noninstrumental quality of human relationships, the unpredictable living, feeling existence, an ability to carry ourselves through eros and social communication "beyond the threshold of our temporary bounded life." Simmel is nostalgic for the vanishing potentials of modern adventure of freedom. His is an erotic sociology that longs for an artistic rather than institutional or economic conception of modern social relations.

The object of nostalgia can vary: traditional community in Tonnies, "primitive communism" of the prefeudal society in Marx, the enchanted public life in Weber, creative sociability in Georg Simmel or the "integrated civilization of antiquity" in early Georg Lukacs. Lukacs coined the term of modern "transcendental homelessness" and defined it through the development of art as well as social life. Lukacs's The Theory of the Novel (1916) opens with an elegy of epic proportions: "Happy are those ages when the starry sky is the map of all possible paths-ages whose paths are illuminated by the light of the stars. Everything in such ages is new and yet familiar, full of
adventure and yet their own. The world is wide and yet it is like home, for the fire that burns in the soul is of the same essential nature as the stars."

This is no longer nostalgia for one's local home but for being at home in the world, yearning for a "transcendental topography of the mind" that characterized presumably "integrated" ancient civilization. The object of nostalgia in Lukacs is a totality of existence hopelessly fragmented in the modern age. The novel, a modern substitute for the ancient epic, is a sort of "half-art" that has come to reflect the "bad infinity" of the modern world and the loss of a transcendental home. Lukacs moved from aesthetics to politics, from Hegelianism to Marxism and Stalinism, erring through many totalizing utopias of the twentieth century, faithful only to a nostalgia for a total worldview that emerged early in his work.

Nietzsche looks for happiness beyond the integrated civilization and traditional communities of the past. The encounters with an unknown woman of doubtful virtue in the crowded city didn't quite work for him. Nietzsche's modernity was not metropolitan, but individual and cosmic. His conception of eternal return suggests a way of overcoming the very premise of nostalgia, the irreversibility of time and unrepeatability of experience. Promising an escape from modern transience, it challenges the opposition between chaos and control, linear and circular time:

This world: a monster of energy, without beginning, without end; a firm iron magnitude of force that does not grow bigger or smaller, that does not extend itself, but only transforms itself ... a household without expenses or losses, but likewise without income ... a sea of forces flowing and flushing together, eternally changing, eternally flooding back with tremendous years of recurrence, with ebb and a flow of its forms."

Nietzsche's poetic fragments about eternal return evoke Greek philosophy; however, like the word nostalgia, this kind of eternal return is only nostalgically Greek. Moreover, it has a distinct modern aspect: self-creating modern subjectivity characterized by the "will to power." Nietzsche scholars continue to argue over the contradictory notion of eternal return and whether it is primarily subjective or cosmological." Nietzsche returned many times to the idea of eternal return but always with a difference, always recreating a new aspect of it, remaining at the end a tantalizing modern ironist, not a systematic or scientific philosopher.

Yet nostalgia creeps into Nietzschean images, haunting the scenes of ultimate oblivion when the hero hopes to move beyond memory and forgetting into cosmos and wilderness. Nietzsche did not succeed in being at home in a household "without expenses and without losses." Homesickness overcomes him. Only his icon of modern nostalgia is not a statuesque unknown woman but a well-known superman, Zarathustra, at home only in his own soul: "One should live upon mountains. With happy nostrils I breathe again mountain freedom. At last my nose is delivered from the odour of all humankind. The soul tickled by sharp breezes as with sparkling wine, sneezes---sneezes and cries to itself: Bless you!" Thus the refuge of the modern philosopher is not so modern. Rather, this is an Alpine landscape of the romantic sublime and Swiss souvenir postcards. Nietzsche plays a drama of social theatricality -of sneezing and saying "bless you" in the theater of his soul. The
philosopher and his hero-supermen are no urban fla-neurs. Nietzsche called himself a "good European," but he never visited Baudelaire's Paris, "the capital of the nineteenth century." The Nietzschean "perfect moment" is not an urban epiphany, but a soulful recollection on a mountaintop.

In the "Uses and Abuses of History" Nietzsche offers a critique of monumental and antiquarian history and presents an argument for reflective history and life's healthy forgetfulness. In the description of that healthy forgetfulness Nietzsche reproduces another pastoral setting of nostalgia, that of Jean-Jacques Rousseau, complete with cowbells. A modern man is described as a "deprived creature racked with homesickness for the wild" whom the philosopher invites to contemplate his fellow animals and learn to be happy without the burden of the past:

Observe the herd as it grazes past you: it cannot distinguish yesterday from today, leaps about, eats, rests, digests, leaps some more, and carries on like this from morning to night and from day to day, tethered by the short leash of its pleasures and displacises to the stake of the moment, and thus is neither melancholy nor bored.... The human being might ask the animal: "Why do you just look at me like that instead of telling me about your happiness?" The animal wanted to answer, "Because I always immediately forget what I wanted to say," but it had already forgotten this answer and hence said nothing, so that the human being was left to wonder.

The philosopher longs for the cows' unphilosophical worldview but alas, the unthinking animal doesn't reciprocate. The philosophical dialogue with the happy cows is a comic failure. Nostalgic for a prenostalgic state of being, the philosopher falls back on irony. The irony in this case displaces the philosopher from his own vision. The cows graze past him, taking away the vision of happiness. Remembering forgetting proves to be even more difficult than representing the present that Baudelaire attempted to do in his poetry. Irony, in Nietzsche's case, reflects the ambiguity of the condition of modern man, who sometimes appears as a demiurge of the future and sometimes as an unhappy thinking animal.

"It is precisely the modern which always conjures up prehistory," wrote Walter Benjamin. "Benjamin partook in the critique of progress and historic causality in a somewhat different manner. Haunted by the burden of history, he couldn't escape into nature or prehistory. Nietzsche's happy cows or Marx's primitive communities held little fascination for Benjamin. Like Nietzsche, Benjamin was an eccentric modern thinker, only his modern Arcadia wasn't the Alpine peak but the Parisian shopping arcades and urban flea markets. Benjamin's modern hero had to be at once a collector of memorabilia and a dreamer of future revolution, the one who doesn't merely dwell in the bygone world but "imagines a better one in which things are liberated from the drudgery of usefulness."

The ultimate test for Benjamin's modern hero was the trip to Moscow in the winter of 1926-27.
Benjamin went to the Soviet capital three years after Lenin's death for both personal and political reasons to see his woman friend, Asja Lacis, and to figure out his relationship to the Communist Party. The journey resulted in erotic failure and ideological heresy. Benjamin's romance with official communism followed the same slippery streets of wintry Moscow as his romance with Asja. Instead of personal happiness and intellectual belonging, Benjamin gained a paradoxical insight into Soviet life with uncommon flashes of lucidity. Benjamin surprised his leftist friends for whom Moscow was supposed to be a capital of progress and a laboratory of the future world revolution by describing the outmoded collection of village toys and bizarre assortment of objects sold at the flea market: exotic dream birds made of paper and artificial flowers, the main Soviet icon, the map of the USSR and the picture of the half-naked mother of God with three hands next to the images of saints, "flanked by portraits of Lenin, like a prisoner between two policemen." Somehow these bizarre everyday juxtapositions of past and future, images of premodern and industrial, of a traditional Russian village playing hide-and-seek in the Soviet capital were for Benjamin important clues that defied ideological representations. The incongruent collage of Moscow life represented an alternative vision of eccentric modernity that had a profound influence on the later twentieth century development. In spite of its minor errors, Benjamin's account of Moscow in the late 1920s in retrospect is more lucid and understanding than many other foreign accounts of the time.

Benjamin thought of Past, Present and Future as superimposing times, reminiscent of contemporary photographic experiments. In his view, every epoch dreams the next one and in doing so revises the one before it. Present "awakens" from the dreams of the past but remains "swollen" by them. Swelling, awakening, constellation—-are Benjimian images of the interrelated times. Thus Benjamin, like Nietzsche and other modern nostalgics, rebelled against the idea of irreversibility of time, only instead of the image of the Nietzschean waves of eternal return, he proposed pearls of crystallized experience. Nor does Benjamin ever entertain the ideal scenes of nostalgia-integrated civilization or wilderness of oblivion. Instead he plays with a "fan of memory" that uncovers new layers of forgetting but never reaches the origin: "He who had once begun to open the fan of memory, never comes to the end of its segments. No image satisfies him, for he has seen that it can be unfolded, and only in its folds does the truth reside." Benjamin wished to "fan a spark of hope in the past," to wrest a historical tradition anew from an empty continuum of forgetting. Constellations are the instance when the past "actualizes" in the present and assumes the "now of recognizability" in a flash. They result in revolutionary collisions or profane illuminations. Benjamin's method can be called archeology of the present; it is the present and its potentialities for which he is most nostalgic.

Benjamin loved Baudelaire's poem dedicated to the unknown passerby. The poet experiences a shock of recognition that provides a pang of pleasure and pain. She might be lost as a love at first sight, but not as a "love at last sight," in Benjamin's expression. She is recovered by the poem that finds new resonances in the future. In the same way, stories of the oppressed people or of those
individuals who were deemed historically insignificant, as well as souvenirs from the arcades and discarded objects from another era can thus be rescued and made meaningful again in the future. This could have struck us as an oddly optimistic vision of someone who resists the chaos and disposability of objects and people in the modern age, had Benjamin not had his own catastrophic premonition. Faithful to his method of material history, Benjamin accumulated in his little notebooks a great number of observations, snapshots of daily life, quotes and clippings that were supposed to distill his historical insights and offer "constellations" in which the past merges with the present or the present prefigures the future. Among those pearls that he shared with his friends was a report from Vienna dated 1939 about the local gas company that stopped supplying gas to the Jews. "The gas consumption of the Jewish population involved a loss for the gas company, since the biggest consumers were the ones who didn't pay their bills. The Jews used the gas especially for committing suicide."

After all, the birth of the nostalgic ailment was linked to war. In the twentieth century, with its world wars and catastrophes, outbursts of mass nostalgia often occurred following such disasters. At the same time, the experience of mass destruction precludes a rosy reconstruction of the past, making reflective minds suspicious of the retrospective gaze. Benjamin offers us an icon of catastrophic modernity in his description of a Paul Klee painting.

A Klee painting, "The Angel of History," shows an angel looking as though he is about to move away from something he is fixedly contemplating. His eyes are staring, his mouth is open, his wings are spread. This is how one pictures the angel of history. His face is turned towards the past. Where we perceive a chain of events, he sees one single catastrophe which keeps piling wreckage upon wreckage and hurls it in front of his feet. The angel would like to stay, awaken the dead, and make whole what has been smashed. But a storm is blowing from Paradise; it has got caught in his wings with such violence that the angel can no longer close them. This storm irresistibly propels him into the future to which his back is turned, while the pile of debris before him grows skyward. This storm is what we call progress."

If we suspend for a moment this messianic vision, we might confront this angel of history just as Benjamin describes him: on the threshold of past and future, framed by the modern painting. The angel doesn't touch us directly, he looks toward us but not at us; diverting our gaze from the stormy vision of progress, yet not allowing us to turn back. The angel can neither make whole the past nor embrace the future. The storms of paradise mirror the wreckage of history, inverting the vectors of past and future. The angel of history freezes in the precarious present, motionless in the crosswinds, embodying what Benjamin called "a dialectic at a standstill."Yet even here a messianic premodern vision collides with the visual dialectics of modern painting, where contradictory meanings and images coexist without any possible resolution or synthesis and where a new geometry of space allows for many alternative planes of existence. The angel's hair unfolds like indecipherable sacred scrolls; his wings are turned inside-out like a Mobius strip where future
and past, left and right, back and front appear reversible.

This angel of history exemplifies a reflective and awe-inspiring modern longing that traverses twentieth-century art and goes beyond isms. The local versions of the history of modern art, such as those of Clement Greenberg, influential primarily in the American context, or Peter Burger, that apply mostly to the Western European artistic movements—particularly surrealism excommunicated by Greenberg, received enough critical attention. There is another tradition of nineteenth- and twentieth-century art and thought that needs to be rescued in a Benjaminian manner, a hybrid tradition of impure modernity. In this tradition the search for a new language could explore the dialects of the past, not only the esperantos of the future (Stravinsky versus Schoenberg, in music); estrangement can be not only an artistic but also an existential principle; politics can vary from utopian to distopian and anarchic, sabotaging both the bourgeois common sense and the new revolutionary orthodoxy."

Twentieth-century art was enamored of the prefixes neo and post and multiple isms. Postmodernism was the latest of such movements. Postmodernists rehabilitated nostalgia together with popular culture, but nostalgia remained restrained within quotation marks, reduced to an element of historic style; it was not a quest for another temporality. At the end, even the postmodernism of resistance admitted its paradoxical failure. As Hal Foster remarks, postmodernism did not lose, but "a worse thing happened; treated as fashion, post-modernism became demode..."4

Instead of being antimodern or antipostmodern, it seems more important to revisit this unfinished critical project of modernity, based on an alternative understanding of temporality, not as a teleology of progress or transcendence but as a superimposition and coexistence of heterogeneous times. Bruno Latour wonders what would happen if we thought of ourselves as having "never been modern" and studied the hybrids of nature and culture, of past and present, that populate the contemporary world. Then we would have to retrace our steps and slow down, "deploy instead of unveiling, add instead of subtracting, fraternize instead of denouncing, sort out instead of debunking"

Off-modern art and lifestyle explores the hybrids of past and present. Some of the meanings of the adverb off relevant to this discussion include: "aside" and "offstage," "extending and branching out from," "somewhat crazy and eccentric" (offkilter), "absent or away from work or duty," "off-key," "offbeat," occasionally off-color but not offcast. In this version of modernity, affection and reflection are not mutually exclusive but reciprocally illuminating, even when the tension remains unresolved and longing incurable. Many off-modernist artists and writers come from places where art, while not marketable, continued to play an important social role and where modernity developed in counterpoint to that of Western Europe and the United States, from Rio de Janeiro to Prague. Russian writer and critic Victor Shklovsky, inventor of estrangement, wrote his most nostalgic texts right after the revolution during his brief exile in Berlin. Instead of marching in step
with the revolutionary time, looking forward to the bright future, the writer followed a zigzag movement, like the knight in a chess game, facing up to unrealized potentials and tragic paradoxes of the revolution: the knight can move vertically and horizontally, cross black and white squares, challenge the authority. Shklovsky suggested that cultural evolution doesn't always happen through a direct line from parents to children but through a lateral line, from uncles and aunts. Marginalia of a given eponome doesn't simply become its memorabilia; it might contain the kernels of the future. Among the off-modern artists there are many exiles, including Igor Stravinsky, Walter Benjamin, Julio Cortazar, Georges Perec, Milan Kundera, Ilya Kabakov, Vladimir Nabokov, who never returned to their homeland, as well as some of the most sedentary artists, such as the American Joseph Cornell, who never traveled but always dreamed of exile. For them, an off-modern outlook was not only an artistic credo but a lifestyle and a worldview. The off-modernists mediate between modernists and postmodernists, frustrating the scholars. The eccentric adverb oil relieves the pressure of being fashionable and the burden of defining oneself as either pre- or postmodern. If at the beginning of the twentieth century modernists and avant-gardists defined themselves by disavowing nostalgia for the past, at the end of the twentieth century reflection on nostalgia might bring us to redefine critical modernity and its temporal ambivalence and cultural contradictions.

"There is no document of civilization which is not at the same time a document of barbarism," wrote Walter Benjamin. These words appear on the writer's tombstone in Port Bou, Spain, in a seaside Catholic cemetery enjoying a panoramic view of the Pyrenees. In fact, this is not really a tombstone but a memorial to the writer whose grave remains unmarked. Benjamin, a German Jewish war refugee, who lived the last decade of his life in voluntary exile in France, committed suicide on the French-Spanish border in 1940 when his passage into safety was denied. He once ironically referred to himself as "the last European," incapable of emigrating to the promised land (he it Palestine or the United States).

"Why are you looking for Benjamin?" the man in the local Chamber of Commerce asked me, when I visited Port Bou in 1995. "He is not even from here. There are many other interesting things to see in town." Indeed, Port Bou, a bustling Catalan frontier town with a large migrant population from southern Spain, has little to do with Benjamin. That insurmountable border Benjamin was not allowed to cross now, amounts to an old customs shack, a Coca-Cola stand and a few multilingual ads for the new borderless Europe. I read the inscription on the memorial in Catalan: "To Walter Benjamin, a German philosopher." (The same inscription is translated into German.) Somehow it upsets me that Benjamin, who was never accepted as a philosopher in his lifetime (certainly not in Nazi Germany), received this posthumous, nostalgic title from Catalan and German governments. Why not at least "German-Jewish man of letters," as Hannah Arendt called him, or even "a European writer"? Next to the stone is an unfinished monument to the writer, a contested ruin and construction site whose sponsorship is debated between the German, Spanish and Catalan regional
governments. For now it is called a monument to the European exiles in all three languages to avoid international conflict. The work, by Dana Karavan, represents a passageway, Benjamin's favorite metaphor (as in Passage, a nineteenth-century shopping arcade, where he discovered much of his longing). Only this chimneylike metallic passageway resembles more closely a staircase to death or even a gas chamber, not a display of urban souvenirs and commodities. Finding this image too grim and unfortunately predictable, I walk down the staircase of sorrow toward the sea where Benjamin's ashes might have found their resting place. Here a surprise awaits me.

Down below there is no exit. Yet neither is there a dead end. Instead I see breaking waves, white foam shimmering in the twilight and my own uncanny reflection. There is no wall at the end of the passage reminding us of the wreckage of the past, but a reflective glass, a screen for transient beauty, a profane illumination. An homage to modern nostalgics.